## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ALFRED G. OSTERWEIL,

Plaintiff,

1:09-cv-825 (GLS\DRH)

٧.

**GEORGE R. BARTLETT III,** in his official capacity as Licensing Officer in the County of Schoharie,

Defendant.

4 DDE 4 D 4 M 6 E 6

APPEARANCES: OF COUNSEL:

## FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

Alfred G. Osterweil Pro Se 197 Lawson Lane Many, LA 71449

## FOR THE DEFENDANTS:

HON. ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN New York State Attorney General The Capitol Albany, NY 12224

ROGER W. KINSEY Assistant Attorney General

Gary L. Sharpe District Court Judge

## **MEMORANDUM-DECISION AND ORDER**

Pro se plaintiff Alfred G. Osterweil brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging that his constitutional rights, including his Second

Amendment right to bear arms, were violated when he was denied a handgun license pursuant to New York State law. (Dkt. No. 1.) On February 24, 2010, this court dismissed Osterweil's Second Amendment claims, explaining that the Second Amendment did not apply to the states. (See Feb. 24, 2010 Order at 6, Dkt. No. 15 (citing *Maloney v. Cuomo*, 554 F.3d 56, 58 (2d Cir. 2009), and Bach v. Pataki, 408 F.3d. 75, 84 (2d Cir. 2005)).) Ostwerweil now moves, unopposed, to reconsider that dismissal in light of the Supreme Court's recent decision in McDonald v. City of Chicago, --- U.S. ----,130 S. Ct. 3020 (2010), which extends the Second Amendment to the states. (See Dkt. Nos. 22, 23.) Because McDonald clearly constitutes an "intervening change in controlling law," see C-TC 9th Ave. P'ship v. Norton Co. (In re C-TC 9th Ave. P'ship), 182 B.R. 1, 2 (N.D.N.Y. 1995), the court grants Osterweil's motion, reverses its prior decision insofar as it dismissed Osterweil's first, second, and third causes of action, and therefore reinstates those causes of action.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, it is hereby

ORDERED that Osterweil's motion for reconsideration (Dkt. No. 22)
is GRANTED; and it is further

ORDERED that this court's February 24, 2010 Memorandum-

Decision and Order is **REVERSED** insofar as it dismissed Osterweil's first, second, and third causes of action; and it is further

**ORDERED** that Osterweil's first, second, and third causes of action are **REINSTATED**; and it is further

**ORDERED** that the Clerk provide a copy of this Memorandum-Decision and Order to the parties by regular.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

February 4, 2011 Albany, New York